



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,954	03/05/2002	Fumihiko Kato	FPM-02901	6433

26339 7590 08/04/2004

PATENT GROUP
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART
EXCHANGE PLACE, 53 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER

PIZIALI, JEFFREY J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2673

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/090,954

Applicant(s)

KATO, FUMIHIKO

Examiner

Jeff Piziali

Art Unit

2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 May 2004 (Paper No. 5).
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2004 (Paper No. 5) is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 18 May 2004 (Paper No. 5). These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tamai et al. (US 6,160,533).

Regarding claim 1, Tamai discloses an LCD control unit for driving an LCD panel in an LCD device, the LCD control unit comprising: a signal controller [Fig. 1; 39] for generating a voltage address signal [Fig. 4; LS] and a polarity control signal [Fig. 4; POLARITY INVERSION] (see Column 14, Line 27 - Column 15, Line 34); a voltage generator block [Fig. 4; 62] for generating a plurality of (n) γ -voltage levels [Fig. 4; at AS1-8] and a plurality of (m)

Art Unit: 2673

Vcom-voltage levels [Fig. 1; Q] based on the voltage address signal, a voltage selecting block [Fig. 4; 63] for selecting a specified number of the γ -voltage levels and one of the Vcom-voltage levels based on the polarity control signal to output the specified number of γ -correction voltages and a Vcom voltage, wherein the voltage selecting block includes an impedance converter [Fig. 4; AS1-8] that converts internal impedances of the γ -voltage levels and the Vcom-voltage levels and generates the specified number of the γ -correction voltages and the Vcom-voltage; and an LCD driver [Fig. 1; 37] for generating a set of display data signals [Fig. 1; O1-ON] based on a set of external data signals [Fig. 1; D0-D2], wherein the LCD driver receives the specified number of the γ -correction voltages output from the voltage selecting block and includes a γ -correction section [Fig. 14; 37b] for correcting voltages of the display data signals based on the specified number of the γ -correction voltages (see Column 16, Line 14 - Column 17, Line 15 and Column 23, Lines 16-32).

Regarding claim 3, Tamai discloses the voltage generator block includes a resistor string [Fig. 4; 62] for generating $n \times L$ voltage levels, n first decoders [Fig. 14; DE1-DEN] for selecting [Fig. 14; ASW1-ASWN] the n γ -voltage levels [Fig. 14; 42a & 42b] from the $n \times L$ voltage levels based on the voltage address signal, and m second decoders [Fig. 15; DEi] for selecting the m Vcom-voltage levels [Fig. 15; 42] from the $n \times L$ voltage levels based on the voltage address signal, given number L being an integer (see Column 23, Line 16 - Column 24, Line 35).

Regarding claim 4, Tamai discloses the specified number of γ -correction voltages are a pair of γ -correction voltages [Figs. 7 & 14; 42a & 42b] (see Column 18, Lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 5, Tamai discloses the voltage selecting block alternately selects the pair of γ -correction voltages having a positive polarity and the pair of γ -correction voltages having a negative polarity, with respect to the Vcom voltage (see Column 16, Lines 48-63).

Regarding claim 6, Tamai discloses the voltage generator block includes a resistor string [Fig. 4; 62] for generating a plurality of voltage levels, a decoder [Fig. 14; DE1-DEN] for decoding the voltage address signal, and a selector [Fig. 14; ASW1-ASWN] for selecting one of the γ -voltage levels or one of the Vcom voltage levels (see Column 23, Line 16 - Column 24, Line 35).

Regarding claim 7, Tamai discloses the LCD control unit is a one-chip IC (see Column 5, Lines 24-41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2673

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamai et al. (US 6,160,533) in view of Gormish (US 5,910,796).

Regarding claim 2, Tamai does not expressly disclose the voltage address signal and the polarity control signal are generated based on a software as time series signals. However, Gormish discloses software controlling and setting gamma correction signals (see Column 1, Lines 12-46). Tamai and Gormish are analogous art because they are from the shared field of gamma correcting display devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute Gormish's software control in the place of Tamai's hardware control, so as to provide a convenient means of gamma correcting the display for the user.

Election/Restrictions

7. Newly submitted (see Paper No. 5, filed 18 May 2004) claims 8-11 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Neither newly submitted independent claim 8 nor claim 9 includes the "impedance converter" subject matter argued by the applicant as rendering newly amended independent claim 1 allowable. Instead, each independent claim incorporates separate, unique, and distinct circuitry arrangements differentiating itself from the others. For instance, while the originally submitted invention (see claims 1, 3, & 6) includes a resistor string in the voltage generator block; the newly submitted invention (see claim 8) includes the resistor string instead in the LCD driver. Moreover, the newly submitted invention (see claims 9-11) breaks the resistor string into a plurality of resistor groups each separately addressable by unique converters. Such "resistor

Art Unit: 2673

group" circuitry and control subject matter is nonexistent in, and distinct from, the invention originally claimed.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 8-11 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 18 May 2004 (Paper No. 5) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant contends *"neither Gormish nor Tamai, taken alone or in any combination, teach or fairly suggest at least the features of a LCD control unit with a voltage selecting unit that includes an impedance converter that converts internal impedances of the γ -voltage levels and the Vcom-voltage levels and generates the specified number of the γ -correction voltages and the Vcom-voltage"* (see Page 12 of Paper No. 5). However, the examiner must respectfully disagree.

For one thing, the Applicant earlier contradicts the above assertion by stating, *"The Office Action cites element 63 of Figure 4 of Tamai as disclosing a voltage selecting circuit. However, circuit 63 is disclosed as being formed of eight analog switches AS1 to AS8. (See col. 16, lines 31-34 of Tamai.) There is mention made of a voltage selecting block including an impedance converter that converts internal impedances of the γ -voltage levels and the Vcom-voltage levels and generates the specified number of the γ -correction voltages and the Vcom-voltage, as claimed by Applicant"* (see Page 11 of Paper No. 5 -- emphasis added by the examiner).

Moreover, due to broadly worded claim language, Tamai fully discloses a voltage selecting block [Fig. 4; 63] including an impedance converter [Fig. 4; AS1-8] that converts [i.e. transforms a signal level before the analog switch to a signal level after the analog switch] internal impedances of the γ -voltage levels [Fig. 4; voltages at AS1-8] and the Vcom-voltage levels [Fig. 1; Q] and generates the specified number of the γ -correction voltages and the Vcom-voltage (see Column 16, Line 14 - Column 17, Line 15 and Column 23, Lines 16-32), as currently claimed by Applicant. Note, the Applicant's argument of inventive novelty centers around the claimed operation of impedance level conversion. However, pending claims remain silent on the explicit matter of what such levels are being converted to. As such, the examiner is relying on the broadest definition of "conversion" as would be understood by one skilled in the art.

By such reasoning, rejection of claims 1-7 is deemed necessary, proper, and thereby maintained at this time.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2673

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 305-8382. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



J.P.
29 July 2004



BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600